

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1459

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usolo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,670	02/28/2002	Ryugen Yonemura	50212-357	2519	
20277 7	7590 11/26/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			ROJAS, OMAR R		
	N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2874		
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0.5				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(300				
	10/084,670	YONEMURA, RYUGEN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Omar Rojas	2874					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Entersions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPF after SIM (6) MONTHS from the mailing side of 37 CPF after SIM (6) MONTHS from the mailing side of 37 CPF after SIM (6) MONTHS from the mailing side of 37 CPF after SIM (7) MONTHS from the mailing side of 32 CPF after SIM (7) MONTHS from the months after the months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) received by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider than three months after the minus of 37 CPF and (7) reproduced by the Office sider	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tutle, cause the application to become ABADONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 	·						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1.20 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.						
Application Papers	a/or election requirement.						
· ·							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 June 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. 							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the content have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ist of the certified copies not receive estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(c) first sentence of the specification or provisional application has been receive incoming under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120.	on No d in this National d.) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

 Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Optical Module With Lens Holding Member.

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 20, line 19, the term "second outer face" should refer to reference numeral 30I rather than 30m.

On page 20, lines 24-25, the term "third outer face" should refer to reference numeral 30m as shown in the drawings.

Appropriate correction is required.

4. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any additional errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,670 Page 3

Art Unit: 2874

 Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as b ing indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- Claim 1 recites the limitation "said optical semiconductor element" in lines 6-7 on page 43. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 8 recites the limitation "said one end portion of said mounting member
 in lines 3-4 on page 47. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 17 recites the limitation "said inner surfaces" in line 15 on page 51. There
 is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim
- Claims 2-7, 9-16, and 18-20 are also rejected under this section as being dependent on one or more rejected base claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 1, 6, 8-9, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,061,493 to Gilliland et al. ("Gil").

To the extent the claim 1 is definite, Gil discloses (e.g., see Fig. 4) an optical module comprising: a mounting member 122 having a mounting portion, a supporting face, and a terminal, said terminal being provided in said

Art Unit: 2874

mounting portion 115, said terminal being electrically connected to an optical semiconductor element 120, and said mounting portion 115 being provided for mounting the optical semiconductor element 120; and a lens holding member 102 having one end portion 114, another end portion 104, a side wall portion, and a holding portion 126/127, said side wall portion and holding portion 126/127 being arranged in a direction of a predetermined axis between said one end portion and other end portion, said lens holding member 102 being provided on the supporting face of said mounting member so as to cover said optical semiconductor element 120; wherein said holding portion holds a lens 130 optically coupled to said optical semiconductor element 120; wherein said side wall portion has first and second inner surfaces.

Note that Gil does not expressly label the first and second inner surfaces or describe an imaginary "reference surface" as recited by claim 1. Nonetheless, the imaginary reference surface disclosed by Applicant (reference numeral 33a in the drawings) may be superimposed on Fig. 4 of Gil to define the first and second inner surfaces of lens holding member 102 in accordance with claim 1.

Regarding claim 6, to the extent the claim is definite, Gil discloses (e.g., see Fig. 4) the optical module according to claim 1, wherein said lens holding member 102 comprises first to third outer surfaces (not expressly labeled by Gil, but clearly shown in Fig. 4); wherein said first outer surface extends from said one end portion in a direction of said predetermined axis; wherein said second outer surface extends from said other end portion in a direction of said predetermined axis; wherein said predetermined axis; wherein said supporting face and said

Art Unit: 2874

third outer surface extend in a direction in which a reference plane extends; and wherein said mounting member 122 has a plurality of additional terminals 124, a first face, a second face, and at least one hole, said mounting portion 115 being provided in said first face, said second face being opposed to said first face, and said terminal and said plurality of additional terminals passing through said hole(s); wherein each hole has a side face extending from said first face to said second face in a direction of said predetermined axis;

Note that Gil does not expressly label the first and second inner surfaces or describe an imaginary "reference cylinder" as recited by claim 6. Nonetheless, the imaginary reference cylinder disclosed by Applicant may be superimposed on Fig. 4 of Gil to define the relationship of the first and second inner surfaces of the lens holding member 102 in accordance with claim 6.

Regarding claim 8, to the extent the claim is definite, the lens holding member 102 of Gil would either be inherently welded to the mounting member 122 in the manner recited by claim 8, or, alternatively, it would be obvious to weld the invention of Gil in the claimed manner because welding in a well-known technique for attaching lens holding members to mounting members.

Regarding claims 9 and 15, the another reference surface recited by Applicant (reference numeral 93c (?) in the drawings) could be superimposed over Fig. 4 of Gil to literally define the same invention recited by claims 9 and 15.

Art Unit: 2874

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 4, 7, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gil as applied to claim 1.

Regarding claims 4, 7, and 16, Gil only substantially differs from the claimed invention in that Gil does recite using a sleeve in conjunction with his ferrule 107.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the claimed invention to use a sleeve in Gil. The use of a sleeve would provide added support and/or protection for the ferrule 107 of Gil. Such uses are well known in the art.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the claimed invention to obtain the invention specified by claim 4, 7, and 16.

15. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gil as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of US Patent 5,119,462 to Matsubara et al. ("Matsubara").

Gil appears to differ from claim 5 in that Gil does not disclose a cylindrical sleeve containing the lens holding member. Matsubara, on the other hand, does disclose a cylindrical sleeve 9 containing a lens holding member 4. See Fig. 1 of Matsubara. As disclosed by Matsubara, the cylindrical sleeve 9 provides "increased environmental"

Art Unit: 2874

resistance." Id, at column 4, line 68. Thus, the use of a cylindrical sleeve containing the lens holding member would have been obvious in Gil.

Gil in view of Matsubara do not expressly recite a diameter of 4.5 mm. However, such a diameter could be inherent in Matsubara or, alternatively, obvious in view thereof since changing the size of objects has been held as obvious.

 Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gil as applied to claim 1.

Gil differs from claim 10 in that Gil does not expressly disclose that the length of the first portion is shorter than the length of the second portion. However, changes in size and proportion have been held as obvious. Thus, providing a shorter first portion in Gil would not constitute a patentable limitation.

 Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gil as applied to claim 1.

Gil appears to only substantially differ from claim 17 in that Gil does not expressly recite a bonding wire connecting the optical semiconductor element and said terminal with each other. However, it is the position of the Examiner that providing bonding wires would either be inherent in Gil, or an obvious modification thereof. This is because Gil already discloses electrical lead terminals 124. Furthermore, the use of bonding wires to provide electrical connections to semiconductor elements is well-known in the art. Therefore, if the recited bonding wires are not inherently present in Gil, their use would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2874

 Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gil as applied to claim 1.

Gil differs from claim 18 in that Gil does not expressly disclose that the length of the first distance is greater than the length of the second distance. However, changes in size and proportion have been held as obvious. Thus, providing a greater first distance in Gil would not constitute a patentable invention.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 19. Claims 2-3, 11-14, and 19-20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 20. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Regarding claims 2-3, it does not appear to have been obvious in view of Gil to use a seam sealer apparatus in the manner recited by claims 2-3. Regarding claims 11-13, it does not appear to have been obvious in view of Gil to use the recited distance between the respective outer surface and supporting face as recited by each of claims 11-13. The Applicant appears to have disclosed a particular non-obvious benefit for providing such distances. Regarding claim 14, it does not appear to have been obvious in view of Gil to use the recited length of said internal terminal. The Applicant appears to have disclosed a particular non-obvious benefit for providing such a length. Regarding claims 19-20, it does not appear to have been obvious in view of Gil to use the recited welding portion located between the edge of an inclined face and the edge of a first inner or outer surface.

Art Unit: 2874

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Rojas whose telephone number is (703) 305-8528 and whose e-mail address is *omar.rojas@uspto.gov*. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:00AM-3:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hemang Sanghavi, can be reached on (703) 305-3484. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9318 for regular communications. The fax phone number for After Final communications is (703) 872-9319. The examiner's personal work fax number is (703) 746-4751.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Omar Rojas Patent Examiner Art Unit 2874

or

November 15, 2003